



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/674,738

09/30/2003

Tony T. Quach

66329/31274

6724

23380 7590 11/18/2008  
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP  
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING  
925 EUCLID AVENUE  
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414

EXAMINER

HANG, VU B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/18/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com  
christopher.luoma@tuckerellis.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/674,738	<b>Applicant(s)</b> QUACH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Vu B. Hang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vu B. Hang. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) John X. Garred. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kurumida (US Pub. 2004/0145760 A1), Kavathekar et al. (US Patent 5,572,631) and Oomura et al. (US Patent 7,319,532 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative discussed the proposed amendment for Claim 1 and the cited prior art. The applicant's representative intends to make the claim language more clear for Claim 1, and add additional claim limitation to emphasize the logic for processing the font data with the appended code information in the raster image processor. Further consideration and search will be conducted when a formal response is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vu B. Hang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	
---	--